

EXHIBIT A

Copyright 2007 PR Newswire Association LLC.
All Rights Reserved.
PR Newswire

September 5, 2007 Wednesday 9:15 PM GMT

LENGTH: 632 words

HEADLINE: Finkelstein Thompson LLP Announces Filing of Securities Fraud Class Action Against UTStarcom, Inc.

DATELINE: WASHINGTON Sept. 5

BODY:

WASHINGTON, Sept. 5 /PRNewswire-USNewswire/ -- Notice is hereby given that Finkelstein Thompson LLP has filed a Class Action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of a class (the "Class") consisting of all persons or entities who purchased or otherwise acquired the common stock of UTStarcom, Inc. ("UTStarcom" or the "Company") (NASDAQ:UTSI) between July 24, 2002 and September 4, 2007 inclusive (the "Class Period").

A copy of the Complaint is available from the court or from Finkelstein Thompson LLP. Please call us toll-free at (877) 337-1050 to discuss this action or to obtain a copy of the Complaint, or contact us by email at info@finkelsteinthompson.com, or visit our website at <http://www.finkelsteinthompson.com/>.

The Complaint alleges that, throughout the Class Period, Defendants misrepresented and omitted material facts concerning the Company's backdating of stock option grants to its officers and executives. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that at all times during the Class Period, UTStarcom represented that the exercise price of all stock options would be no less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock, measured by the publicly traded closing price for UTStarcom stock on the day of the grant.

However, in reality, options granted in 2002 were backdated so their exercise price correlated to a day on or near the day UTStarcom's stock hit a significantly low price for the year, or directly in advance of sharp increases in the price of UTStarcom stock.

The truth regarding the Company's option granting practices was revealed on July 24, 2007. On that date, UTStarcom announced that a review of the Company's historical stock option grant practices uncovered evidence that stock option grants were backdated. As a result of these findings, the Company further announced that its previously issued financial statements for the years 2000 through 2006 should no longer be relied upon, and would be restated by at least \$28 million. In response to this news, UTStarcom's share price fell 22%, from a close of \$4.73 on July 23, 2007 to a close of \$3.70 on July 25, 2007. The share price continued to decline thereafter.

Finkelstein Thompson LLP Announces Filing of Securities Fraud Class Action
Against UTStarcom, Inc. PR Newswire September 5, 2007 Wednesday 9:15 PM GMT

Plaintiff seeks to recover damages on behalf of Class members and is represented by Finkelstein Thompson LLP. Finkelstein Thompson LLP has spent almost three decades delivering outstanding representation to institutional and individual clients in connection with securities and other finance-related litigation, and has been appointed as lead or co-lead counsel in dozens of shareholder class actions. Indeed, in the past decade, the firm has served in leadership roles in cases that have recovered over \$1 billion for investors and consumers.

If you are a member of the class, you may request that the Court appoint you as lead plaintiff within 60 days from the date of this Notice. A lead plaintiff is a class member appointed by the Court to direct the litigation on behalf of the class. Although a class member need not be appointed as a lead plaintiff to receive a proportionate share of any proceeds of the litigation, lead plaintiffs make important decisions that could affect the prosecution of the class claims, including decisions concerning settlement. The securities laws create a rebuttable presumption that the plaintiff with the largest financial interest in the litigation is the most adequate to serve as a lead plaintiff.

If you are a UTStarcom shareholder and wish to discuss the case or have information relevant to the investigation, please contact our Washington, DC office toll-free at (877) 337-1050, or by email at contact@ftllaw.com.

CONTACT: Finkelstein Thompson LLP, +1-877-337-1050

Web site: <http://www.finkelsteinthompson.com/>

SOURCE Finkelstein Thompson LLP

URL: <http://www.prnewswire.com>

LOAD-DATE: September 6, 2007


EXHIBIT B

CERTIFICATION OF UT STARCOM, INC.
SECURITIES CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

I, Michael J. Palladino, hereby certify that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:

1. I am the President of the Detectives' Endowment Association Annuity Fund (the "Fund").
2. I have reviewed the complaint filed in this case (the "Complaint"), and authorize the filing thereof.
3. The Fund is willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of the Class (as defined in the Complaint), including providing testimony at deposition and trial, if necessary.
4. During the Class Period (as defined in the Complaint), the Fund purchased and/or sold the security that is the subject of the Complaint as set forth on the attached.
5. The Fund did not engage in the foregoing transactions at the direction of counsel or in order to participate in any private action arising under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act").
6. During the three-year period preceding the date of my signing this Certification, the Fund has not served nor sought to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class in any private action arising under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act except in In re Diebold, Inc. Sec. Litig., N.D. Ohio No. 05-CV-2873; In re Bearingpoint, Inc. Sec. Litig., E.D.VA. No. 05-CV-00454; In re RenaissanceRe Holdings, Ltd. Sec. Litig., S.D.N.Y. No. 05-cv-06764; Damore v. Radioshack Corp., et al., N.D.Tex., Docket No. 07-cv-179; and In re Eli Lilly & Company Sec. Litig., E.D.N.Y. No. 07-cv-01310.
7. The Fund will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the Class beyond its pro rata share of any possible recovery except for an award, as ordered by the court, for reasonable costs and expenses directly relating to its representation of the Class.

Signed under the penalties of perjury, this 27 ^{October} day of ~~September~~ 2007.


Michael J. Palladino, on behalf of the
Detectives' Endowment Association Annuity Fund

SCHEDULE A

<u>DATE</u>	<u>BUY/SELL</u>	<u>NO. OF SHARES</u>	<u>PRICE PER SHARE</u>
5/4/04	Buy	7,200	\$27.2422
8/2/04	Buy	3,100	\$18.2000
8/12/04	Buy	4,000	\$17.3160
9/15/04	Buy	2,300	\$15.8826
3/8/05	Sell	5,700	\$13.1500
4/4/05	Sell	10,900	\$11.8028

EXHIBIT C

**THE DETECTIVES' ENDOWMENT ASSOCIATION ANNUITY FUND'S
FINANCIAL INTEREST IN THE RELIEF SOUGHT BY THE CLASS IN UT STARCOM INC.
Class Period: 7/24/02 - 9/4/07**

Date	Buy	# of Shares	Price	Total Cost	Date	Sell	# of Shares	Price	Total Proceeds
5/4/2004	Buy	7,200	\$ 27.2422	\$ 196,143.84	3/8/2005	Sell	5,700	\$ 13.1500	\$ 74,955.00
8/2/2004	Buy	3,100	\$ 18.2000	\$ 56,420.00	4/4/2005	Sell	10,900	\$ 11.8028	\$ 128,650.52
8/12/2004	Buy	4,000	\$ 17.3160	\$ 69,264.00			<u>16,600</u>		<u>\$ 203,605.52</u>
9/15/2004	Buy	2,300	\$ 15.8826	\$ 36,529.98					
		<u>16,600</u>		<u>\$ 358,357.82</u>					

Total Loss: \$ (154,752.30)
Percentage Loss: -43.18%

EXHIBIT D

SCHOENGOLD SPORN LAITMAN & LOMETTI, P.C.

FIRM BIOGRAPHY

Schoengold Sporn Laitman & Lometti, P.C. was founded in 1962. For over 45 years, the firm has specialized in representing victims of securities fraud, and its attorneys have an average of over 17.5 years of experience. During that time, the firm has litigated dozens of highly complex class actions throughout the country and has helped recover hundreds of millions of dollars for injured shareholders.

Among the more prominent cases wherein the firm or its client served in a leadership capacity are the following:

- ☆ *In re WorldCom, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 02-CV-88 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$6.13 billion recovery, part of which is subject to court approval).
- ☆ *In re Wedtech Securities*, 86 Civ. 8628 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$77.5 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re Bank One Shareholders Class Actions*, 00-CV-880 (N.D. Ill.) (\$50 million recovery).
- ☆ *Danis v. USN Communications, Inc.*, 98-CV-7482 (N.D. Ill.) (\$44.7 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 02-CV-271 (W.D. Penn.) (\$46.675 million recovery).
- ☆ *Singer v. Nicor, Inc.*, 02-CV-5168 (N.D. Ill.) (\$39 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re JWP Securities Litigation*, 92 Civ. 5815 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$36 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re First Investors Securities Litigation*, 90 Civ. 7225 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$33 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re DSC Communications Corp. Securities Litigation*, 85-2005-T (N.D. Tex.) (\$30 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re Westar Energy, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 03-cv-4003-JAR (D. Kan.) (\$30 million recovery).
- ☆ *Stern v. Jerome and Fisher Bond Fund v. Davis* (SpectraVision Securities Litigation), 3:94 CV-2236 and 3:95 CV-3062-D (N.D. Tex.) (\$28.2 million recovery).

- ☆ *In re APAC Teleservices, Securities Litigation*, 97-CV-9145 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$21 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re ProNet Securities Litigation*, 3:96-CV-1795-P (N.D. Tex.) (\$15 million recovery).
- ☆ *Kriegel v. Pacific Scientific Corp., et al.*, Civil No. 98-4163 (C.D. Cal.) (\$14.8 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re Anadigics, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 98-917 (D.N.J.) (\$11.5 million recovery).
- ☆ *Maley v. Del Global Technologies Corp.*, 00-CV-8495 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$11.5 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re Datascope Corp. Securities Litigation*, Civil No. 93-4954 (D. N.J.) (\$10.5 million recovery).
- ☆ *Kassover v. Coeur d'Alene Mines Corporation Securities Litigation*, Docket No. 92-0015-N-(HLR) (D. Ohio) (\$5.875 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re Versatility, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 98-CV-1676 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$4.625 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re Alcohol Testing of America, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. SA CV 92-123 LHM (JRX) (\$ 4.6 million recovery).
- ☆ *Lehocky v. Tidel Technologies, Inc.*, 01-CV-3741 (S.D. Tex.) (\$4.04 million recovery).
- ☆ *In re U.S. Homecare Corp. Securities Litigation*, 93 Civ. 4060 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$3 million settlement).

Schoengold Sporn Laitman & Lometti began specializing in shareholder class and derivative securities litigation with its representation of one of the parties in the seminal case of Escott v. Barchris Construction Corp.; 283 F.Supp. 643 (S.D.N.Y. 1968). The Barchris case is recognized as the leading securities case dealing with the appropriate standards of liability for issuers, underwriters, accountants and lawyers.

Federal courts throughout the country have long noted the firm's experience and ability in complex securities litigation. Among the cases in which the firm's credentials were specifically recognized are:

- ☆ In Maley v. Del Global Technologies Corp., 00-CV-8495 (S.D.N.Y.), a case in which Schoengold Sporn Laitman & Lometti acted as sole lead counsel, Judge McMahon commended the firm for "going the extra mile" in obtaining a settlement representing approximately 41 percent of the maximum recoverable damages incurred by the class, observing: "Through Schoengold [Sporn Laitman & Lometti]'s efforts, after intensive investigation, concentrated litigation and extensive arm's-length bargaining, and without the benefit of any governmental agency's investigation, Class Counsel have secured a settlement fund which confers an excellent benefit to the Class . . . I can't ever remember having participated as a lawyer or a judge in a settlement of a securities fraud class action that yielded in excess of a forty percent rate of recovery."
- ☆ In re Westar Energy, Inc. Securities Litigation, 03-CV-4003(D. Kansas), a case in which the class recovered over 34% of total damages. Judge Robinson noted "Class Counsel are highly skilled. They have brought some unique and creative allegations...not based solely on the work of others...but much of which has been based on their own work...the attorneys have great experience, a national reputation, and substantial ability. [T]hey are highly experienced...more than competent...and have diligently represented the class."
- ☆ In re SPX Corp. Sec. Litigation, 3:04-CV-99 (W.D.N.C.), the Court commended the firm for its "skill, perseverance[,] ... diligent advocacy" and "aggressive representation" of the class in achieving "from a financial standpoint, a very fair settlement" aggregating \$10 million, or approximately 22 percent of the maximum recoverable damages, noting that SSL&L is among the "leading attorneys in the country in the area of class actions" and is "extremely competent" and "very experienced."
- ☆ In Kriegel v. Pacific Scientific Co., 98-CV-4163 (C.D. Cal.), a case in which the class received approximately 27 percent of their claimed maximum damages (which was 25 times defendants' estimation of damages), Judge Morrow concluded that the firm's "significant expertise" and the "hard-fought" settlement that was obtained "on the eve of trial" directly contributed to the case's "positive outcome."
- ☆ In Behr v. APAC Teleservices, Inc., 97-CV-9145 (S.D.N.Y.), Judge Jones recognized the "long efforts" of counsel in litigating the case and their "thorough investigation" of plaintiffs' claims, concluding that the "substantial settlement" obtained "saved [the class] a lot of years of complex litigation."
- ☆ In In re Rite Aid Corp. Derivative Litigation, 99-CV-1349 (E.D.Pa.), Judge Dalzell noted that Schoengold Sporn Laitman & Lometti "worked efficiently to help produce a result that benefits the corporation and, through it, its shareholders."

- ☆ In *In re Datascope Corp. Securities Litigation*, 93-CV-4954 (D. N.J.), Judge Bassler observed: "I have been continually impressed with the quality of the work in the case . . . in terms of the way this matter was handled, the professionalism, quality of the legal work, I've never seen anything better, so and for that I'm very grateful to everybody."
- ☆ In *In re Anadigics, Inc. Securities Litigation*, CV-98-917 (D.N.J.), a case in which the class received approximately 44 percent of their legally recoverable damages, Magistrate Judge Wolfson praised the firm's achievement in resolving a difficult and complex case "without prolonging the litigation" and noted that the attorneys handling the matter were "well respected and experienced practitioners."
- ☆ *In re Versatility, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 98-CV-1676 (S.D.N.Y.), Judge Sprizzo commended the firm for negotiating a settlement that "reflects . . . the best interests of the shareholders and the intelligence of the lawyers."
- ☆ In *Ostroff v. Hemisphere Hotels Corp.*, (CCH) Fed. Sec. L. Rep. ¶ 94,713 (S.D.N.Y. 1974), Judge Bonsal observed that "Messrs. Schoengold and Sporn are able attorneys, especially in Securities acts cases."

- ☆ In *Abramson v. Hyatt International*, (CCH) Fed. Sec. L. Rep. ¶ 98,447 (S.D.N.Y. 1982), Judge Lowe commented that "Counsel on both sides are highly respected members of the bar and have substantial experience in stockholder litigation, federal securities litigation and class action litigation."
- ☆ In *IDI Securities Litigation*, 84-CV-3870 (S.D.N.Y.), where shareholders received over 95 percent of their recognized losses, Judge Broderick stated that the legal work done on behalf of the class was "excellent" and "the result was an excellent result."

THE ATTORNEYS OF SCHOENGOLD SPORN LAITMAN & LOMETTI, P.C.

Samuel P. Sporn is a graduate of the Brooklyn Law School, Class of 1953, where he distinguished himself as Editor-in-Chief of the Brooklyn Law Review and Class Valedictorian. He was admitted to practice in New York State in 1953, admitted to the bars of the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York in 1956, and thereafter admitted to the bars of the Supreme Court of the United States and the Second, Ninth and Washington, D.C. Circuit Courts of Appeal. Mr. Sporn served in the United States Army from 1953 to 1955 in the Judge Advocate General's Office. Thereafter, he was an attorney with the Port Authority of New York, and in 1956, became an associate at Israel & Taubenblatt in New York City, a firm specializing in tort and admiralty law. In 1959, Mr. Sporn began his own general practice, and in 1962, he co-founded the present firm of Schoengold & Sporn, P.C., which practiced general commercial law until it began specializing in securities law in 1968. He is an Adjunct Associate Professor of Law at the Brooklyn Law School, where he teaches a seminar in Federal and New York State Civil Practice. He has also lectured on class actions and the federal securities laws in various law schools in the metropolitan area.

Joel P. Laitman graduated from Columbia University in 1981 with a B.A. degree, *magna cum laude*, and received his J.D. degree from the Georgetown University Law Center, Washington, D.C. in June, 1986. Thereafter, he was associated with the firm of Shea & Gould.

one of the largest law firms in New York City, practicing in the field of general commercial litigation. In December, 1988, he became associated with the firm of Bernstein, Litowitz, Berger & Grossmann, specializing in federal securities class action litigation. In March 1992, he joined the firm of Schoengold & Sporn, P.C.

Christopher Lometti graduated from Fordham College in 1983 and Fordham Law School in 1986. While attending law school, Mr. Lometti interned with the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York and clerked for Judge Jack Mackston of the Civil Court of the City of Long Beach. From 1986 through 1994, he was an associate in the Litigation Department of the New York Office of Shea & Gould. From 1994 through 1996, he was a sole practitioner, specializing in commercial litigation and securities arbitrations. Since September 1996, he has been affiliated with Schoengold & Sporn, P.C. He was admitted to the New York Bar in February 1987 and is also admitted in the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of Columbia and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. He is a member of the American Bar Association and the New York County Lawyers Association, and he also serves as an arbitrator for the National Association of Securities Dealers and the New York Stock Exchange.

Jay P. Saltzman graduated from Columbia University in 1983 with a Bachelor of Arts degree where he was on the Dean's List throughout his attendance. From 1985-1990, Mr. Saltzman worked as an officer in the Corporate Trust department of the Bankers Trust Company, responsible for all aspects of Corporate Trust, from integrating new issues to ensuring the accuracy of dividends and stock splits. Mr. Saltzman earned a Masters of Business Administration degree with a major in Corporate Finance from New York University's Stern School of Business in 1991. He received his J.D. degree from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School

of Law in June, 1994. Mr. Saltzman was a member of the *Cardozo Law Review* for which he wrote his Note on International and Labor Law. While at Cardozo, he was an intern with the New York State Attorney General's Office and with the Lawyers' Committee for Human Rights. He is admitted to practice in the courts of the States of New York and New Jersey, in the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of New Jersey and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second and Third Circuits.

Ashley Kim graduated *cum laude* from Barnard College, Columbia University in 1994 with a Bachelor of Arts degree and was on the Dean's List throughout her attendance. Ms. Kim received her J.D. degree from Brooklyn Law School in June, 1999, where she was a member of the Criminal Procedure Moot Court team and the Moot Court Honor's Society. While at Brooklyn Law School, Ms. Kim received two CALI Excellence Awards for Legal Writing (1996-97). She is admitted to practice law in the States of New York and New Jersey and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of New Jersey and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second and Sixth Circuits.

Frank R. Schirripa, graduated from the State University of New York at Albany School of Business in 1999, with a Bachelor of Business Administration with a concentration in Finance. Mr. Schirripa graduated *cum laude* from New York Law School in 2002, where he was Chairman of the Moot Court Association. During law school, he was an intern with the New York Stock Exchange, Office of the Special Counsel. Upon graduation, he was inducted into the Order of the Barristers. He is admitted to practice law in the States of New York and New Jersey and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of New Jersey and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

Daniel B. Rehns, earned his Bachelors Degree from Bucknell University in 2002 where he double-majored in Economics and Finance, and double-minored in Philosophy and Legal Studies. Mr. Rehns graduated from New York Law School in 2005, where he earned the honor of Dean's List, was a member of the Corporate & Business Law Society and co-authored West's Nutshell on Corporate Financial Law. He is admitted to practice in the courts of the States of New York (2006) and New Jersey (2006), in the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York (2006), and the District of New Jersey (2006). Mr. Rehns is a member of the New York State Bar Association and the American Bar Association

Ruth Hershman, a paralegal at Schoengold & Sporn, P.C., graduated from Kingsborough Community College in March 1984, where she obtained a Certificate of Completion in the Paralegal Program and Legal Research and Brief Writing. Since 1983, Ms. Hershman has been specializing in paralegal work involving federal and state securities class actions.

Rachel Conaboy, graduated magna cum laude from Keystone College in 2002 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Criminal Justice Administration. While attending college, Ms. Conaboy interned with the offices of the United States Attorney, United States Probation, and the Federal Public Defender's, all for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. With two years of law school experience, Ms. Conaboy currently specializes in paralegal work involving federal securities class actions.

EXHIBIT E

GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NEW YORK OFFICE

1501 BROADWAY
SUITE 1900

NEW YORK, NY 10036

TELEPHONE (917) 510-0009

FACSIMILE (646) 366-0895

1801 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 311
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

TELEPHONE (310) 201-9150

FACSIMILE (310) 201-9160

info@glancylaw.com

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER
SUITE 760

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

TELEPHONE (415) 972-8160

FACSIMILE (415) 972-8166

FIRM RESUME

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP has represented investors and consumers in federal and state courts throughout the United States for sixteen years. Based in Los Angeles, California and with offices in New York, New York and San Francisco, California, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg has developed expertise prosecuting securities fraud, antitrust and complex commercial litigation. As Lead Counsel or as a member of Plaintiffs' Counsel Executive Committees, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg has recovered in excess of \$1 billion for parties wronged by corporate fraud and malfeasance. The firm's efforts on behalf of individual investors have been the subject of articles in such publications as The Wall Street Journal, The New York Times and The Los Angeles Times.

Appointed as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel by federal judges throughout the United States, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg has achieved significant recoveries for class members, including:

In re Heritage Bond Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 02-ML-1475-DT, where as Co-Lead Counsel, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg recovered in excess of \$28 million for defrauded investors and continues to pursue additional defendants.

In re ECI Telecom Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 01-913-A, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as sole Lead Counsel and recovered almost \$22 million for defrauded ECI investors.

Yaldo v. Airtouch Communications, State of Michigan, Wayne County, Case No. 99-909694-CP, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement valued at over \$32 million for defrauded consumers.

In re Infonet Services Corporation Securities Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. CV 01-10456 NM, in which as Co-Lead Counsel, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg achieved a settlement of \$18 million.

In re Musicmaker.com Securities Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 00-02018, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg was sole Lead Counsel for the Class and recovered in excess of \$13 million.

In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 98 Civ. 7530, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as sole Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$17 million.

In re Lason, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 99 76079, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg was Co-Lead Counsel and recovered almost \$13 million for defrauded Lason stockholders.

In re Inso Corp. Securities Litigation, USDC District of Massachusetts, Case No. 99 10193, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$12 million.

In re National TechTeam Securities Litigation USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 97-74587, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$11 million.

In re Ramp Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Northern District of California, Case No. C-00-3645 JCS, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of nearly \$7 million.

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg filed the initial landmark antitrust lawsuit against all of the major NASDAQ market makers and served on Plaintiffs' Counsel's Executive Committee in In re Nasdaq Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 94 C 3996 (RWS), MDL Docket No. 1023, which recovered \$900 million for investors in numerous heavily traded Nasdaq issues.

In addition, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg serves as Class Counsel in In re Real Estate Associates Limited Partnership Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 98-7035 DDP, in which plaintiffs' Counsel achieved a \$184 million jury verdict after a complex six week trial in Los Angeles, California and later settled the case for \$83 million.

The firm currently serves as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in numerous securities fraud and consumer fraud actions throughout the United States, including, among others:

Shah v. Morgan Stanley Co.,
USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 03 Civ. 8761 (RJH)

Lapin v. Goldman Sachs,

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 03-0850-KJD

In re Gilat Satellite Networks, Ltd. Securities Litigation,

USDC Eastern District of New York, Case No. 02-1510 CPS

In re Lumenis, Ltd. Securities Litigation,

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No.02-CV-1989 DAB

Taft v. Ackermans (KPNQwest Securities Litigation),

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 02-CV-07951

In re Amdocs Ltd. Securities Litigation,

USDC Eastern District of Missouri, Case No. 02CV950 HEA

In re Heritage Bond Litigation,

USDC Central District of California, Case No. 02-ML-1475-DT

Payne v. IT Group, Inc.,

USDC Western District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 02-1927

Oscar Private Equity Investments v. Holland (Allegiance Telecom Securities Litigation),

USDC Northern District of Texas, Case No. 3:-CV-2761-H

Winer Family Trust v. Queen (Pennexx Securities Litigation),

USDC Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:03-cv-04318 JP

In re ADC Telecommunications Inc. Securities Litigation,

USDC District of Minnesota, Case No. 03-1194 (JNE/JGL)

Ree v. Procom Technologies, Inc.,

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 02CV7613

Capri v. Comerica, Inc.,

USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 02CV60211 MOB

Porter v. Conseco, Inc.,

USDC Southern District of Indiana, Case No. 02-1332 SEB

In re Livent, Inc. Noteholders Litigation,

USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 99 Civ 9425

Tatz v. Nanophase Technologies Corp.,
USDC Northern District of Illinois, Case No. 01C8440

Plumbing Solutions Inc. v. Plug Power, Inc.,
USDC Eastern District of New York, Case No. CV 00 5553 (ERK) (RML)

In re Simon Transportation Services, Inc. Securities Litigation,
USDC District of Utah, Case No. 2:98 CV 0863 K

The firm has also recently acted as Class Counsel in obtaining substantial benefits for shareholders in a number of actions, including:

In re F & M Distributors Securities Litigation,
Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 95 CV 71778 DT (Executive Committee Member) (\$20.25 million settlement)

James F. Schofield v. McNeil Partners, L.P. Securities Litigation,
California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 133799

Resources High Equity Securities Litigation,
California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 080254

The firm has served and currently serves as Class Counsel in a number of antitrust class actions, including:

In re Nasdaq Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation,
USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 94 C 3996 (RWS), MDL Docket No. 1023

In re Brand Name Prescription Drug Antitrust Litigation,
USDC Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, Case No. 94 C 897

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP has been responsible for obtaining favorable appellate opinions which have broken new ground in the class action or securities fields or which have promoted shareholder rights in prosecuting these actions. Glancy Binkow & Goldberg successfully argued the appeals in Silber v. Mabon I, 957 F.2d 697 (9th Cir. 1992) and Silber v. Mabon II, 18 F.3d 1449 (9th Cir. 1994), which are the leading decisions in the Ninth Circuit regarding the rights of opt-outs in class action settlements. In Rothman v. Gregor, 220 F.3d 81 (2d Cir. 2000), Glancy Binkow & Goldberg won a seminal victory for investors before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, which adopted a more favorable pleading standard for investors in reversing the District Court's dismissal of the investors' complaint. After this successful appeal, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg then recovered millions of dollars for defrauded investors of the GT Interactive Corporation. The firm also argued Falkowski v. Imation Corp., 309 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2002), *as amended*, 320 F.3d 905 (9th Cir. 2003) and favorably obtained the substantial reversal of a

lower court's dismissal of a cutting edge, complex class action brought to seek redress for a group of employees whose stock options were improperly forfeited by a giant corporation in the course of its sale of the subsidiary at which they worked. The revived action is currently proceeding in the California state court system.

The firm is also involved in the representation of individual investors in court proceedings throughout the United States and in arbitrations before the American Arbitration Association, National Association of Securities Dealers, New York Stock Exchange, and Pacific Stock Exchange. Mr. Glancy has successfully represented litigants in proceedings against such major securities firms and insurance companies as A.G. Edwards & Sons, Bear Stearns, Merrill Lynch & Co., Morgan Stanley, PaineWebber, Prudential, and Shearson Lehman Brothers.

One of firm's unique skills is the use of "group litigation" - the representation of groups of individuals who have been collectively victimized or defrauded by large institutions. This type of litigation brought on behalf of individuals who have been similarly damaged often provides an efficient and effective economic remedy that frequently has advantages over the class action or individual action devices. The firm has successfully achieved results for groups of individuals in cases against major corporations such as Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, and Occidental Petroleum Corporation.

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP currently consists of the following attorneys:

THE FIRM'S PARTNERS

LIONEL Z. GLANCY, a graduate of the University of Michigan Law School, is the founding partner of the firm. After serving as a law clerk for United States District Judge Howard McKibben, he began his career as an associate at Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP concentrating in securities litigation. Thereafter, he started a boutique law firm specializing in securities litigation, and other complex litigation, from the Plaintiff's perspective. Mr. Glancy has established a distinguished career in the field of securities litigation over the last fifteen years, appearing as lead counsel on behalf of aggrieved investors in securities class action cases throughout the country. He has appeared and argued before dozens of district courts and several appellate courts, and has recovered billions of dollars in settlement proceeds for large classes of shareholders. Well known in securities law, he has lectured on its developments and practice at CLE seminars and law schools.

PETER A. BINKOW, a partner in Glancy Binkow & Goldberg, was born in Detroit, Michigan on August 16, 1965. Mr. Binkow earned his degree in English Literature from the University of Michigan in 1988 and attended law school at the University of Southern California (J.D., 1994). Mr. Binkow joined the Law Offices of Lionel Z. Glancy upon graduation and became a partner in 2002.

Mr. Binkow has prosecuted lawsuits on behalf of consumers and investors in state and federal courts throughout the United States. He served as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in many class action cases, including In re Heritage Bond Litigation (\$28 million recovery), In re National Techteam Securities Litigation (\$11 million recovery), In re Credit Acceptance Corporation Securities Litigation (\$2.5 million recovery), In re Lason Inc. Securities Litigation (\$12.68 million recovery), In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation (\$17 million recovery) In re GT Interactive Securities Litigation (\$3 million recovery) and many others. Mr. Binkow has prepared and/or argued appeals before the Ninth Circuit, Sixth Circuit and Second Circuit Courts of Appeals.

Mr. Binkow is admitted to practice before the state of California, the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. He is a member of the Los Angeles County Bar Association and the American Bar Association.

MICHAEL GOLDBERG, a partner in Glancy Binkow & Goldberg, specializes in federal securities, federal and state antitrust, and consumer fraud class action lawsuits. He has successfully litigated numerous cases which resulted in multi-million dollar recoveries for investors, consumers and businesses.

Mr. Goldberg was born in New York on April 27, 1966. He earned his B.A. degree in 1989 from Pitzer College - The Claremont Colleges, and his J.D. degree in 1996 from Thomas M. Cooley Law School. After graduation from law school, Mr. Goldberg joined the Law Offices of Lionel Z. Glancy and became a partner of Glancy Binkow & Goldberg in 2003. He was admitted to both the California and Florida bars in 1997 and is admitted to practice in numerous courts.

SUSAN G. KUPFER, the partner resident in the San Francisco office of Glancy Binkow & Goldberg, joined the firm in 2003. She is a native of New York City and received her A.B. degree from Mount Holyoke College in 1969 and her J.D. from Boston University School of Law in 1973. She did graduate work at Harvard Law School and, in 1977, was named Assistant Dean and Director of Clinical Programs at Harvard, supervising and teaching in that program of legal practice and related academic components.

For much of her legal career, she has been a professor of law. She has taught at Hastings College of the Law, Boston University School of Law, Golden Gate University School of Law and Northeastern University School of Law. Since 1991, she has been a lecturer on law at University of California, Berkeley, Boalt Hall, teaching Civil Procedure and Conflict of Laws. Her areas of academic expertise are Civil Procedure, Federal Courts, Conflict of Laws, Constitutional Law, Legal Ethics and Jurisprudence. Her publications include articles on federal civil rights litigation, legal ethics and jurisprudence. She has also taught various aspects of practical legal and ethical training, including trial advocacy, negotiation and legal ethics, to both law students and practicing attorneys.

She previously served as corporate counsel to The Architects Collaborative in Cambridge and San Francisco and was the executive director of the Massachusetts Commission on Judicial Conduct. She returned to the practice of law in San Francisco with Morgenstein & Jubelirer and Berman DeValerio

Pease Tabacco Burt & Pucillo before joining Glancy Binkow & Goldberg. Her practice is concentrated in antitrust, securities and consumer complex litigation. She has been a member of the lead counsel team that achieved significant settlements in the following cases: In re Sorbates Antitrust Litigation (\$96.5 million settlement), In re Pillar Point Partners Antitrust Litigation (\$50 million settlement), In re Critical Path Securities Litigation (\$17.5 million settlement), In re New Era of Networks II Securities Litigation (\$5 million settlement).

She is a member of the Massachusetts and California State Bars and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern districts of California, the District of Massachusetts, the First and Ninth Circuits Courts of Appeal and the U.S. Supreme Court.

OF COUNSEL

ROBIN BRONZAFI HOWALD, a native of Brooklyn, New York, returned home in 2001 to open the firm's New York City office. Ms. Howald graduated *magna cum laude* from Barnard College in 1980, with a B.A. in psychology. In 1983, she received her J.D. from Stanford Law School, where she served as an Articles Editor for the Stanford Law Review. In addition to her current focus upon securities fraud and consumer class action matters, during her 20-year career Ms. Howald has handled cases in many different practice areas, including commercial disputes, professional malpractice, wrongful termination, bankruptcy, patent and construction matters. As outside counsel for the City of Torrance, California, she also handled a number of civil rights and land use matters, as well as a ground-breaking environmental action concerning Mobil Oil's Torrance refinery. Ms. Howald has experience in pre-trial and trial procedure and has successfully prosecuted post-trial motions and appeals.

Mrs. Howald is a member of the bar of both California (1983) and New York (1995), and is admitted to practice in all federal judicial districts in California, the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Supreme Court. She co-authored "Potential Tort Liability in Business Takeovers" (California Lawyer, September 1986), was a speaker and contributing author at the Eighth Annual Current Environmental and Natural Resources Issues Seminar at the University of Kentucky College of Law (April 1991), and served as a Judge Pro Tem for the Los Angeles County Small Claims Court (1996-1997). Married in 1985, Mrs. Howald and her husband have two sons. An avid runner, Mrs. Howald has completed six marathons.

NEAL A. DUBLINSKY was born in Flushing, New York on January 15, 1963. He earned his undergraduate degree from Yeshiva University in 1984, graduating *summa cum laude*, (highest-ranking graduate of his class) and was the recipient of the Dean Isaac Bacon Award for Excellence in the Humanities. Mr. Dublinsky earned his J.D. from New York University School of Law in 1987 where he participated in the Consumer Protection Clinical Program under renowned Professor Anthony G. Amsterdam. Mr. Dublinsky was admitted to the state bar of California in 1988.

Mr. Dublinsky played a strong part in the Firm's successful resolution of the aforementioned matters of In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 98

Civ. 7530 and In re Lason, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 99 76079. The published opinions in which Mr. Dublinsky has played a primary role include: City of Sterling Heights Police and Fire Retirement System v. Abbey Nat., PLC, --- F.Supp.2d ----, 2006 WL 846261 (S.D.N.Y., Mar 31, 2006) (NO. 05 CIV. 2141 (DC)); Falkowski v. Imation Corp., 309 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2002), *as amended*, 320 F.3d 905 (9th Cir. 2003); Falkowski v. Imation Corp., 132 Cal.App.4th 499, 33 Cal.Rptr.3d 724 (Cal.App. 2005), *reh. den.* (Sep 27, 2005), *rev. den.* (Nov 30, 2005), and; Mirpuri v. ACT Mfg., Inc., 212 F.3d 624 (1st Cir. 2000). In addition, he played a primary role in Caprin v. Simon Transportation Services, Inc., 99 Fed.Appx. 150 (not selected for publication), 2004 WL 326995 (10th Cir. 2004). He also was an important participant in Rothman v. Gregor, 220 F.3d 81 (2nd Cir. 2000) and Shah v. Meeker, 435 F.3d 244 (2nd Cir. 2006).

KEVIN F. RUF was born in Wilmington, Delaware on December 7, 1961. Mr. Ruf graduated from the University of California at Berkeley in 1984 with a B.A. in Economics and earned his J.D. from the University of Michigan in 1987. Mr. Ruf was admitted to the State Bar of California in 1988. Mr. Ruf was an associate at the Los Angeles firm Manatt Phelps and Phillips from 1988 until 1992, where he specialized in commercial litigation. He was of counsel to the Los Angeles firm Corbin & Fitzgerald from 1993 until 2001 where he specialized in white collar criminal defense work, including matters related to National Medical Enterprises, Cynergy Film Productions and the Estate of Doris Duke. Mr. Ruf has extensive trial experience, including jury trials, and considers his courtroom skills to be his strongest asset as a litigator. In his spare time, Mr. Ruf is an actor and comic. He is a full member of the world-famous Groundlings Theatre and has appeared in a number of television shows and films, including "Seinfeld," "Friends," "Spin City," and "Curb Your Enthusiasm."

ROBERT A. ZABB attended Yale University and Columbia Law School, and received his B.A. in 1975 and his J.D. in 1979. Mr. Zabb is admitted to practice in California, New York and Massachusetts, in state and federal courts in those jurisdictions. His practice has consisted of general business litigation with a specialization in federal securities litigation on the plaintiff and defense sides. He has practiced actively in the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, which are important centers for securities litigation. Mr. Zabb's accomplishments are reflected in numerous reported case decisions, particularly in the cases known as SEC v. Thrasher (Southern District of New York) and In re MTC Securities Litigation (Eastern District of New York). Mr. Zabb has had the privilege of arguing a case before the U.S. Supreme Court. This was a securities case delineating the permissible scope of a private right of action, and is known as Employers Insurance of Wausau v. Musick, Peeler and Garrett.

SYLVIE KULKIN KERN has been a commercial litigator for twenty years. She began her legal career as a law clerk to Justice John Holmdahl of the California Court of Appeal, First District, and then practiced law in San Francisco at Severson, Werson, Berke & Melchior (1985-1988); Brobeck Phleger & Harrison (1988-1993); and Morrison & Foerster LLP (1995-2004), where she was of counsel on the firm's securities litigation team. She joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP in 2005. In addition to her work in the securities field and her current focus on antitrust litigation, Ms. Kern has handled numerous complex commercial cases in state and federal court in the transportation, insurance, banking, real estate, and telecommunications industries, at both the law and motion and appellate stages. Born and raised in Nice,

France, Ms. Kern moved to Los Angeles with her family in 1962. She graduated from the University of California at Los Angeles, *magna cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa, in 1973, with dual degrees in French and Political Science. She obtained her master's degree from the Johns Hopkins School of Advanced International Studies in 1975, and a law degree from Hastings College of the Law, where she served on the Hastings International and Comparative Law Review, in 1983. Prior to attending law school, Ms. Kern served as a Foreign Service officer with the United States Agency for International Development, managing foreign aid programs in El Salvador and Haiti. She speaks French, Spanish and Italian, and has lived or traveled in over thirty countries.

FREDERICK W. GERKENS, III, an of counsel to Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP, graduated from Fordham University Law School (*cum laude*, 1997) with an LL.M. in Corporate, Banking, and Finance law. Mr. Gerkens received his J.D. degree from New York Law School (*cum laude*, 1995) and an M.B.A. from Temple University (1978). Mr. Gerkens received his undergraduate degree from Temple University (B.A. Psychology, *cum laude*) in 1975. Mr. Gerkens also is a Certified Public Accountant (New York, 1980).

Since graduating law school, Mr. Gerkens was employed at the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, Division of Market Regulation, and thereafter practiced principally in securities class actions, complex commercial litigation, and employment law and was a partner at another prominent class action litigation firm.

Prior to law school, Mr. Gerkens was employed at a major Wall Street investment firm as director of financial reporting and manager of regulatory reporting (1985-1992). Prior to that, Mr. Gerkens was an auditor employed by recognized public accounting firms, with mostly financial institution clientele (1978-1985).

Mr. Gerkens is a member of the American Bar Association (Litigation Section), the Association of the Bar of the City of New York and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Mr. Gerkens played a prominent role in prosecuting several securities class actions to successful conclusion, resulting in settlements to the respective shareholder classes, including *In re BankOne Shareholders Litig.*, No. 00-CV-0880 (N.D. Ill.); *In re UNUMProvident Sec. Litig.*, No. 99-CV-301 (D. Me.); *In re Allied Products Sec. Litig.*, No. 99-CV-3597 (N.D. Ill.); *In re Laidlaw Stockholders Litig.*, No. 3:00-CV-0855-17 (D.S.C.); *In re Alliance Pharm. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 01-CV-1674 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Warnaco Group, Inc. Sec. Litig. (II)*, No. 01-CV-3346 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Rediff Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 01-CV-3020 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Abercrombie & Fitch Co. Sec. Litig.*, M21-83 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Global Crossing, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 02-CV-0910 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Amazon.com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. C-01-0358-L (W.D. Wash.); *In re Harnischfeger, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Nos. 98-C-0524, 99-C-0598 (E.D. Wis.); *In re American Bank Note Holographics, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 99-C-0598 (S.D.N.Y.); and *In re Avista Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. CV-02-0328 (E.D. Wash.).

Mr. Gerken is admitted to practice in New York (1995), the United States Supreme Court, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

MICHAEL B. ACKERMAN was born in Brooklyn, New York on June 30, 1962. He received his Bachelor of Arts from Columbia University in 1984 and attended Fordham University Law School (J.D. 1987). Mr. Ackerman was admitted to the New York bar in 1989 and the California bar in 1990. Mr. Ackerman is a member of the American Bar Association, the Los Angeles County Bar Association and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

BRADLEY J. HILLIS graduated from the University of Washington School of Law (J.D., 1988), where he was a member of Moot Court Honor Board. He received a B.A. from The Colorado College and M.A. in history from the University of Washington. Mr. Hillis is a member of the bars of New York, Massachusetts and Washington State, the U.S. District Courts for the Western District of Washington and Massachusetts, and the U.S. Courts of Appeal for the First and Ninth Circuits. He is the author of "Electronic Court Filing and the Internet," (The Journal of Appellate Practice and Process, volume 2:2, Winter 2000). He has previously worked as a deputy prosecuting attorney for the King County Prosecutor's Office, in Seattle, Washington, and was a member of the Advisory Board of Findlaw.com.

ILANA KOHN was born in Encino, California, on October 7, 1967. She earned her undergraduate degree from Mills College in 1993, with honors, and her J.D. from the University of San Francisco School of Law in 1999, with honors. Ms. Kohn was admitted to the State Bar of California in 1999, and is admitted to practice before the Northern, Central and Eastern Districts of California. Ms. Kohn specializes in class actions on behalf of defrauded investors and consumers. Prior to her class action work, Ms. Kohn was an associate in the San Francisco office of Schnader Harrison Segal and Lewis, where she specialized in commercial litigation. Since joining the firm in 2003, Ms. Kohn has been involved in the prosecution of the Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, a coordinated proceeding of over 300 class action lawsuits based on market manipulation in initial public offerings during the high technology boom of the late 90s.

JALA AMSELLEM has been engaged in the private practice of civil litigation for over ten years. She has handled a broad variety of cases in the areas of corporate commercial, family law, personal injury and entertainment litigation. Jala is also a former legal writing professor who taught legal skills for twelve years. In her last academic position she was the Associate Director of the legal writing program at The George Washington School of Law. Recently, Jala founded The Bar Coach, a company dedicated to assisting bar takers pass the California Bar Exam.

Jala received her undergraduate degree from New York University in 1982 and her J.D. from Touro Law School in 1985. At Touro, Jala was the Senior Editor of the law review. Jala is admitted to the bars of California, New York, New Jersey, Michigan and the District of Columbia.

KATHARINE A. KATES, Of Counsel to the Firm in San Francisco, graduated from Hastings College of the law (1991, with honors) where she was a member of the Hastings Law Journal. She received a B.A. (1985, with high honors) and an M.A. (1988) in the History of Art from the University of California, Berkeley. After obtaining her law degree, Ms. Kates practiced at Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal in San Francisco. Ms. Kates was a staff attorney at the United States District Court for the Central District of California, then joined the Los Angeles litigation boutique O'Neill Lysaght & Sun and later, Murphy Rosen & Cohen. Prior to focusing on antitrust litigation, Ms. Kates' experience included complex commercial litigation and white collar criminal defense, with a particular emphasis on securities matters.

ASSOCIATES

MARCL GODINO has extensive experience successfully litigating complex, class action lawsuits as a plaintiffs' lawyer. Mr. Godino has played a primary role in cases resulting in settlements of more than \$100 million. He has prosecuted securities, derivative, ERISA, and consumer cases throughout the country in both State and Federal court as well as represented defrauded investors at NASD arbitrations.

While an associate with Stull Stull & Brody, Mr. Godino was one of the two primary attorneys involved in Small v. Fritz Co., 30 Cal. 4th 167 (April 7, 2003) in which the California Supreme Court created new law in the state of California for shareholders that held shares in detrimental reliance on false statements made by corporate officers. The decision was widely covered by national media including The National Law Journal, Los Angeles Times, New York Times, and the New York Law Journal, among others and was heralded as a significant victory for shareholders.

Other published decisions include: In re 2TheMart.com Securities Litigation, 114 F.Supp 2d 955 (C.D.Cal. 2002); In re Irvine Sensors Securities Litigation, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18397 (C.D.Cal. 2003); Brown v. Computerized Thermal Imaging Inc., 2002 WL 31109563 (D.Or. 2002).

Mr. Godino received his undergraduate degree from Susquehanna University with a bachelor of science degree in Business Management. He received his J.D. from Whittier Law School in 1995.

Mr. Godino is admitted to practice before the state of California, the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, the District of Colorado, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

DALE MacDIARMID is a native of Los Angeles, California. He holds a B.A. in Journalism (with Distinction) from the University of Hawaii, and a J.D. from Southwestern University School of Law, where he was member of the Board of Governors of the Interscholastic Trial Advocacy Honors Program. He is admitted to practice in California and before the United States District Courts for the Southern, Central and Northern Districts of California. Dale is a member of Kappa Tau Alpha, the national journalism honor society, and before joining Glancy Binkow & Goldberg he was a writer and editor for newspapers and magazines in Honolulu and Los Angeles.

KARA M. WOLKE graduated summa cum laude with a B.S.B.A. in Economics from The Ohio State University in 2001. Kara earned her J.D. (with honors) from Ohio State in May, 2005, where she was active in Moot Court and received the Dean's Award for Excellence for each of her three years. In 2005, she was a finalist in a national writing competition co-sponsored by the American Bar Association and the Grammy® Foundation. (7 Vand. J. Ent. L. & Prac. 411). Kara joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg in the fall of 2005 and was admitted to the State Bar of California in January, 2006.

ANDY SOHRN joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP in 2006. He was admitted to the California Bar in January 2006 after receiving his J.D. from the University of California Los Angeles School of Law in May 2005. While attending law school, Andy was the Managing Editor of the Pacific Basin Law Journal, participated in Moot Court and was a Teaching Assistant for the Lawyering Skills program. He also holds a B.A. in Economics and Mathematics from Yale University (class of 2002).